arXiv:physics/9705040v3 [math-ph] 13 Apr 1999

physics/9705040

Lowest-energy representations of non-centrally extended diffeomorphism algebras

T. A. Larsson

Vanadisvägen 29
S-113 23 Stockholm, Sweden
email: tal@hdd.se

Abstract

We describe a class of non-central extensions of the diffeomorphism algebra in N-dimensional spacetime, and construct lowest-energy modules thereof, thus generalizing work of Eswara-Rao and Moody. There is one representation for each representation of $Vir\widetilde{\ltimes}_{k_0}\widehat{gl(N)}$ (an extension of the semi-direct product). Similar modules are constructed for gauge algebras.

1 Introduction

Let $diff(N)$ denote the diffeomorphism algebra in N-dimensional spacetime. In a significant paper, Eswara-Rao and Moody constructed the first interesting lowest-energy representations of a non-central extension thereof [5], but they failed to explicitly describe the extension (except for the "spatial" subalgebra generated by time-independent vector fields). In the present paper, a four-parameter non-central extension $\widehat{diff}(N; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$ is described explicitly, and a realization of this algebra is constructed for each representation of $Vir \tilde{\ltimes}_{k_0} \widehat{gl(N)}$ (an extension of $Vir \ltimes \widehat{gl(N)}$). The representations in [5] are recovered (in a Fourier basis) by picking a particular vertex operator module for Vir and the trivial module for $\widehat{gl(N)}$. Thus, my results are related to theirs in about the same way as tensor densitites are related to functions. Similar results also hold for the algebra of gauge transformations on spacetime; special cases were previously found by [4, 6, 10]. A supersymmetric generalization of the present work can be found on the web [9].

After this work was completed I became aware of related references [1, 2].

2 Extension

Let $\xi = \xi^\mu \partial_\mu$ be a vector field and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ the Lie derivative. Greek indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, .., N-1$ label spacetime coordinates and the summation convention is used. The diffeomorphism algebra (algebra of vector fields, Witt algebra) $diff(N)$ is generated by Lie derivatives satisfying $[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] = \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]}$. Define two families of operators $S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n})$ and $R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n})$, where $g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}$ and $h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}$ are arbitrary functions on spacetime. The operators are linear in the arguments and totally symmetric in the indices $\nu_1..\nu_n$. The following relations (2.1–2.3) define a Lie algebra extension of $diff(N)$, denoted by $\widetilde{diff}(N; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{L}_\xi, S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n})] = S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(\xi^\mu \partial_\mu g_{\nu_1..\nu_n} + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\nu_j}\xi^\mu g_{\nu_1..\mu..\nu_n}) \\
&\qquad -(n-1) S_{n+1}^{\mu\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\mu \xi^0 g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}), \\
&S_1^\nu(\partial_\nu f) \equiv 0, \\
&S_{n+1}^{0\nu_1..\nu_n}(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}) = S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}), \\
&S_0(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int dt f(t), \qquad \text{if } f(t) \text{ depends on time only,}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{L}_\xi, R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n})] = R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(\xi^\mu \partial_\mu h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n} + \partial_\rho \xi^\mu h_{\mu|\nu_1..\nu_n} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\nu_j}\xi^\mu h_{\rho|\nu_1..\mu..\nu_n}) \\
&\qquad -(n+1) R_{n+1}^{\rho|\mu\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\mu \xi^0 h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}) - R_{n+1}^{\rho|\mu\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\rho \xi^0 h_{\mu|\nu_1..\nu_n}) \\
&\qquad + S_{n+2}^{\rho\sigma\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma \xi^\mu h_{\mu|\nu_1..\nu_n}) - S_{n+3}^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma \xi^0 h_{\mu|\nu_1..\nu_n}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$S_{n+1}^{\mu\nu_1..\nu_n}(\partial_\mu h_{\nu_1..\nu_n}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{n-1}^{\nu_j|\nu_1..\check{\nu}_j..\nu_n}(h_{\nu_1..\nu_n}) \equiv 0,$$

where $h_{\nu_1..\nu_n}$ is symmetric in $\nu_1..\nu_n$.

$$R_{n+1}^{\rho|0\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}) \equiv R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}),$$

$$R_n^{0|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\nu_1..\nu_n}) \equiv 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &= \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]} + c_1 S_1^\rho(\partial_\rho\partial_\nu\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu) + c_2 S_1^\rho(\partial_\rho\partial_\mu\xi^\mu\partial_\nu\eta^\nu) \\
&\quad + c_3(R_1^{\mu|\nu}(\partial_\mu\xi^0\partial_\nu\eta^0) + S_3^{\mu\nu\rho}(\partial_\rho\partial_\mu\xi^0\partial_\nu\eta^0)) \\
&\quad + \frac{c_4}{2} S_2^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\eta^0\partial_\sigma\partial_\mu\xi^\mu - \partial_\rho\xi^0\partial_\sigma\partial_\nu\eta^\nu) \qquad (2.3) \\
&\quad + a_1(S_2^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^0 - \partial_\rho\partial_\sigma\eta^\nu\partial_\nu\xi^0) \\
&\quad - S_3^{\rho\mu\nu}(\partial_\rho\partial_\mu\xi^0\partial_\nu\eta^0 - \partial_\rho\partial_\nu\eta^0\partial_\mu\xi^0)) \\
&\quad - a_2 S_1^\rho(\partial_\rho\xi^0\eta^0) + a_3 S_1^\rho(\partial_\rho\eta^0\partial_\mu\xi^\mu - \partial_\rho\xi^0\partial_\nu\eta^\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

$$[S_m^{\mu_1..\mu_m}(g_{\mu_1..\mu_m}), S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\nu_1..\nu_n})] = [S_m^{\mu_1..\mu_m}(g_{\mu_1..\mu_m}), R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n})]$$
$$= [R_m^{\rho|\mu_1..\mu_m}(h_{\rho|\mu_1..\mu_m}), R_n^{\sigma|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\sigma|\nu_1..\nu_n})] = 0.$$

Verification of all Jacobi identities is straightforward; that these equations define a Lie algebra also follows from the explicit realization in theorem 3.1 below. Indeed, the extensions were discovered by working out which algebra is generated by (3.6). The extensions $a_1 - a_3$ (cocycles are labelled by the factors multiplying them) are cohomologically trivial and may be eliminated by the redefinition

$$\mathcal{L}'_\xi = \mathcal{L}_\xi + a_1 S_2^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\xi^0) + \frac{a_2}{2} S_0(\xi^0) + a_3 S_0(\partial_\mu\xi^\mu). \qquad (2.4)$$

The remaining extensions are non-trivial, which is most easily seen by restricting to the "temporal" subalgebra generated by vector fields on the line $x^\mu = t\delta_0^\mu$; it is a Virasoro algebra with central charge $12(c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4)$. In particular, this is the whole story in one dimension, and hence (2.1–2.3) is a natural higher-dimensional generalization of the Virasoro algebra. The extensions c_1 and c_2 were first described by Eswara-Rao and Moody [5] and myself [7, 8], respectively, while c_3 and c_4 are new. $S_1^\rho(g_\rho)$ is a linear operator acting on one-forms $g_\rho dx^\rho$, and as such it may be viewed as a closed one-chain on spacetime. One possibility is that it is an exact one-chain:

$$S_1^\rho(g_\rho) \;=\; C^{\nu\rho}(\partial_\nu g_\rho),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\nu\mu}(j_{\mu\nu}) &= -C^{\mu\nu}(j_{\mu\nu}) \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, C^{\nu\rho}(j_{\nu\rho})] &= C^{\nu\rho}(\xi^\mu \partial_\mu j_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu \xi^\mu j_{\mu\rho} + \partial_\rho \xi^\mu j_{\nu\mu}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

Dzhumadil'daev [3] has given an list of $diff(N)$ extensions by irreducible modules, but it seems that the extension c_1 is missing; however, it is essentially $\psi_1^W - \psi_3^W + \psi_4^W$ in his notation. Moreover, c_2 is ψ_1^W and c_1 and c_2 become ψ_4^W and ψ_3^W upon the substitution (2.5), respectively. The remaining two cocycles are not covered by his theorem, however, because they are extensions by reducible but indecomposable modules.

3 Realization

Consider the Heisenberg algebra generated by operators $q^i(s)$, $p_j(t)$, $s, t \in S^1$, where latin indices $i, j = 1, .., N-1$ run over spatial coordinates only.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[p_j(s), q^i(t)] &= \delta^i_j \delta(s-t), \\
[p_i(s), p_j(t)] &= [q^i(s), q^j(t)] = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

These operators can be expanded in a Fourier series; e.g.,

$$
p_j(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{p}_j(n) \mathrm{e}^{int}. \tag{3.2}
$$

This algebra has a Fock module $\mathcal{F}$ ($\mathbb{Z}$-graded by the frequency n) generated by finite strings in the non-negative Fourier modes of $q^i(t)$ and the positive modes of $p_j(t)$. Define time components by $q^0(t) = t$ and $p_0(t) = -\dot{q}^i(t) p_i(t)$; in an obvious notation, $q^\mu(t) = (t, q^i(t))$, etc. The following relations hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[q^\mu(s), q^\nu(t)] &= 0, \\
[p_\nu(s), q^\mu(t)] &= (\delta^\mu_\nu - \dot{q}^\mu(s) \delta^0_\nu) \delta(s-t), \\
[p_\mu(s), p_\nu(t)] &= (\delta^0_\mu p_\nu(s) + \delta^0_\nu p_\mu(t)) \dot{\delta}(s-t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

Normal ordering is necessary to remove infinites and to obtain a well defined action of diffeomorphisms on $\mathcal{F}$. For any function of $q(t)$ and its derivatives, let

$$
:f(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) p_j(t): \equiv f(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) p_j^{\leq}(t) + p_j^{>}(t) f(q(t), \dot{q}(t)), \tag{3.4}
$$

where $p_j^>(t)$ ($p_j^\leq(t)$) is the sum (3.2) over positive (non-positive) Fourier modes only. Let $L(s)$ and $T^\mu_\nu(t)$ generate the following algebra $Vir_c \widetilde{\ltimes}_{k_0} \widehat{gl(N)}_{k_1,k_2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[L(s), L(t)] &= (L(s) + L(t))\dot{\delta}(s-t) + \frac{c}{24\pi i}(\dddot{\delta}(s-t) + \dot{\delta}(s-t)), \\
[L(s), T^\mu_\nu(t)] &= T^\mu_\nu(s)\dot{\delta}(s-t) + \frac{k_0}{4\pi i}\delta^\mu_\nu\ddot{\delta}(s-t), \qquad (3.5) \\
[T^\mu_\nu(s), T^\sigma_\tau(t)] &= (\delta^\sigma_\nu T^\mu_\tau(s) - \delta^\mu_\tau T^\sigma_\nu(s))\delta(s-t) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2\pi i}(k_1\delta^\mu_\tau\delta^\sigma_\nu + k_2\delta^\mu_\nu\delta^\sigma_\tau)\dot{\delta}(s-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1 *Under the conditions above, the following expressions*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_\xi &= \int dt\ {:}\xi^\mu(q(t))p_\mu(t){:} + \xi^0(q(t))L(t) + \partial_\nu\xi^\mu(q(t))T^\nu_\mu(t) \\
&\equiv \int dt\ {:}\xi^i(q(t))p_i(t){:} - {:}\xi^0(q(t))\dot{q}^i(t)p_i(t){:} \\
&\quad +\xi^0(q(t))L(t) + \partial_\nu\xi^\mu(q(t))T^\nu_\mu(t), \qquad (3.6) \\
S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int dt\ \dot{q}^{\nu_1}(t)...\dot{q}^{\nu_n}(t)g_{\nu_1..\nu_n}(q(t)), \\
R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int dt\ \ddot{q}^\rho(t)\dot{q}^{\nu_1}(t)...\dot{q}^{\nu_n}(t)h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}(q(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

realize the Lie algebra $\widetilde{diff}(N; 1+k_1, k_2, -2+(c+2N-2)/12, 1+k_0)$, *while the cohomologically trivial parameters are* $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = (c+2N-2)/12$, $a_3 = i/2$.

The proof is deferred to the appendix. Consequently, this algebra acts on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ for every $Vir_c \widetilde{\ltimes}_{k_0} \widehat{gl(N)}_{k_1,k_2}$ module $\mathcal{M}$. It should be stressed that this action is manifestly well defined, at least for the subalgebra of vector fields that are polynomial in the spatial coordinates and a Fourier polynomial in x^0, because finiteness is preserved when all operators in (3.6) act on finite strings in non-negative Fourier modes in that case. The Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{-i\partial_0} = -i\int dt\ (-{:}\dot{q}^i(t)p_i(t){:} + L(t)) \qquad (3.7)
$$

is the operator responsible for computing the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading.

In the absense of normal ordering and central charges in (3.5), (3.6) yields a proper realization of $diff(N)$. The higher-dimensional analogue of a primary field depends on five parameters λ, w (defined up to an integer), κ, p, and q:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t)] &= -\xi^0(q(t))\dot\phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t) - \lambda\dot\xi^0(q(t))\phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t) \\
&\quad + iw\xi^0(q(t))\phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t) - \kappa\partial_\mu\xi^\mu(q(t))\phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\partial_\mu\xi^{\sigma_i}(q(t))\phi^{\sigma_1..\mu..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\tau_q}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\partial_{\tau_j}\xi^\mu(q(t))\phi^{\sigma_1..\sigma_p}_{\tau_1..\mu..\tau_q}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $[\mathcal{L}_\xi, q^\mu(t)] = \xi^\mu(q(t)) - \dot q^\mu(t)\xi^0(q(t))$.

The result of Eswara-Rao and Moody [5] is recovered as follows: they work in a Fourier basis on the torus, and denote $q^i(t) = \delta_i(z)$ and $p_j(t) = d_j(z)$, where $z = \exp(it)$. A standard vertex operator realization for the Virasoro generator $L(t)$ was given, based on the remaining roots α_p, but they missed the appearance of $\widehat{gl(N)}$. Consequently, $T^\mu_\nu(t) = 0$ and $k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = 0$ in their work.

4 Gauge algebras

Consider the gauge algebra $map(N, \mathfrak{g})$, i.e. maps from N-dimensional spacetime to a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ has basis J^a, structure constants $f^{ab}{}_c$, and Killing metric δ^{ab}. Define constants g^a and g'^a satisfying $f^{ab}{}_c g^c = f^{ab}{}_c g'^c = 0$. Clearly, $g^a = g'^a = 0$ if $J^a \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, but they may be non-zero on abelian factors. Let $X = X_a(x)J^a$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function and define $[X, Y]_c = if^{ab}{}_c X_a Y_b$. $diff(N) \ltimes map(N, \mathfrak{g})$ has the non-central extension $\widetilde{diff}(N; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)\widetilde{\ltimes}_{g,g'}\widetilde{map}(N, \mathfrak{g}; k)$, with brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{J}_Y] &= \mathcal{J}_{[X,Y]} - k\delta^{ab}S^\rho_1(\partial_\rho X_a Y_b), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{J}_X] &= \mathcal{J}_{\xi^\mu\partial_\mu X} - g^a S^{\mu\nu}_2(\partial_\mu\xi^0\partial_\nu X_a) - g'^a S^\rho_1(\partial_\rho\partial_\mu\xi^\mu X_a), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, S^{\nu_1..\nu_n}_n(g_{\nu_1..\nu_n})] &= [\mathcal{J}_X, R^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}_n(h_{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n})] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

in addition to (2.3). Let $J^a(t)$, $t \in S^1$, generate the Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k$. Consider the algebra $Vir_c\widetilde{\ltimes}_{k_0,g}(\widehat{gl(N)}_{k_1,k_2}\widetilde{\oplus}_{g'}\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k)$, with brackets (3.5) and

$$[J^a(s), J^b(t)] = if^{ab}{}_c J^c(s)\delta(s-t) + \frac{k}{2\pi i}\delta^{ab}\dot\delta(s-t),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[T^\mu_\nu(s), J^a(t)] &= \frac{{g'}^a}{2\pi i}\delta^\mu_\nu \dot\delta(s-t), \\
[L(s), J^a(t)] &= J^a(s)\dot\delta(s-t) + \frac{g^a}{2\pi i}\ddot\delta(s-t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{J}_X = \int dt\ X_a(q(t))J^a(t) \tag{4.3}
$$

yields a realization of $\widetilde{map}(N, \mathfrak{g}; k)$, with the intertwining action of $\widetilde{diff}(N; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$ described above, and the parameters k, g^a and ${g'}^a$ in (4.1) and (4.2) agree.

A Proof of theorem 3.1

We first prove that in absense of normal ordering, (3.6) defines a proper realization of $diff(N)$. The operators $\tilde p_\nu(t) = p_\nu(t) + \delta^0_\nu L(t)$ satisfy relations (3.3) and also

$$
[\tilde p_\mu(s), T^\nu_\sigma(t)] = \delta^0_\mu T^\nu_\sigma(s)\dot\delta(s-t). \tag{A.1}
$$

Introduce the abbreviated notation $\xi^\mu(t) \equiv \xi^\mu(q(t))$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &= \iint dsdt\ [\xi^\mu(s)\tilde p_\mu(s) + \partial_\sigma\xi^\mu(s)T^\sigma_\mu(s), \eta^\nu(t)\tilde p_\nu(t) + \partial_\tau\eta^\nu(t)T^\tau_\nu(t)] \\
&= \iint dsdt\ \xi^\mu(s)\Big\{\partial_\rho\eta^\nu(t)(\delta^\rho_\mu - \delta^0_\mu\dot q^\rho(s))\delta(s-t)\tilde p_\nu(t) \\
&\quad +\eta^\nu(t)\delta^0_\mu\tilde p_\nu(s)\dot\delta(s-t)\Big\} \\
&\quad +\xi^\mu(s)\Big\{\partial_\rho\partial_\tau\eta^\nu(t)(\delta^\rho_\mu - \delta^0_\mu\dot q^\rho(s))\delta(s-t)T^\tau_\nu(t) \\
&\quad +\partial_\tau\eta^\nu(t)\delta^0_\mu T^\tau_\nu(s)\dot\delta(s-t)\Big\} \\
&\quad +\partial_\sigma\xi^\mu(s)\partial_\tau\eta^\nu(t)\delta^\tau_\mu T^\sigma_\nu(s)\delta(s-t) - \xi\leftrightarrow\eta,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

where $\xi \leftrightarrow \eta$ stands for the same expression with ξ and η interchanged everywhere. Rewrite the terms proportial to the derivative of the delta function by noting that

$$
\iint dsdt\ f(s)g(t)\dot\delta(s-t) = \int f\dot g = -\int \dot f g. \tag{A.3}
$$

The function arguments were suppressed in the single integrals, because no confusion is possible. This leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \xi^\mu(\partial_\mu\eta^\nu - \delta^0_\mu\dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\eta^\nu)\tilde{p}_\nu + \xi^\mu\dot{\eta}^\nu\delta^0_\mu\tilde{p}_\nu \\
&+\xi^\mu\partial_\rho\partial_\tau\eta^\nu(\delta^\rho_\mu - \delta^0_\mu\dot{q}^\rho)T^\tau_\nu + \xi^\mu\partial_\tau\dot{\eta}^\nu\delta^0_\mu T^\tau_\nu + \partial_\sigma\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu T^\sigma_\nu - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \\
= &\int \xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu\tilde{p}_\nu + \xi^\mu\partial_\mu\partial_\tau\eta^\nu T^\tau_\nu + \partial_\sigma\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu T^\sigma_\nu - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \qquad \text{(A.4)} \\
= &\int (\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta)^\nu\tilde{p}_\nu + \partial_\tau(\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu)T^\tau_\nu - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta = \mathcal{L}_{\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta} - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\dot{\eta}^\nu = \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\eta^\nu$. Hence $[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] = \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]}$, and it is clear that normal ordering must result in some abelian extension of $diff(N)$. We now proceed to calculate it.

Split the delta function into positive and negative energy parts.

$$\delta^>(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{m>0} \mathrm{e}^{-imt}, \qquad \delta^\le(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{m\le 0} \mathrm{e}^{-imt}. \qquad \text{(A.5)}$$

Lemma A.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&i. && \delta^>(t)\delta^\le(-t) - \delta^>(-t)\delta^\le(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\dot{\delta}(t) \\
&ii. && \delta^>(t)\dot{\delta}^\le(-t) - \dot{\delta}^>(-t)\delta^\le(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi i}(\ddot{\delta}(t) + i\dot{\delta}(t)) \\
&iii. && \dot{\delta}^>(t)\dot{\delta}^\le(-t) - \dot{\delta}^>(-t)\dot{\delta}^\le(t) = \frac{1}{12\pi i}(\dddot{\delta}(t) + \dot{\delta}(t))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
i. \quad & 4\pi^2 \cdot LHS = \sum_{m>0}\sum_{n\le 0}(\mathrm{e}^{-i(m-n)t} - \mathrm{e}^{i(m-n)t}) = \sum_{k>0}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - \mathrm{e}^{ikt}) \\
&= \sum_{k>0} k(\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - \mathrm{e}^{ikt}) = \sum_{k} k\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} = 2\pi i\dot{\delta}(t) \text{ where } k = m - n. \\
ii. \quad & 4\pi^2 i \cdot LHS = \sum_{m>0}\sum_{n\le 0}(n\mathrm{e}^{-i(m-n)t} - m\mathrm{e}^{i(m-n)t}) \\
&= \sum_{k>0}\sum_{m=1}^{k}(m-k)\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - m\mathrm{e}^{ikt} = \sum_{k>0} -\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}\mathrm{e}^{ikt}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\sum_k \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} = \pi\ddot{\delta}(t) + \pi i\dot{\delta}(t).$$

iii. $$-4\pi^2 \cdot LHS = \sum_{m>0}\sum_{n\leq 0}(mn\mathrm{e}^{-i(m-n)t} - mn\mathrm{e}^{i(m-n)t})$$

$$= \sum_{k>0}\sum_{m=1}^{k} m(m-k)(\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - \mathrm{e}^{ikt}) = \sum_{k>0} -\frac{k^3-k}{6}(\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} - \mathrm{e}^{ikt})$$

$$= -\sum_k \frac{k^3-k}{6}\mathrm{e}^{-ikt} = \frac{1}{6}(2\pi i\dddot{\delta}(t) + 2\pi i\dot{\delta}(t)). \ \square$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\xi}^i(t) &\equiv \tilde{\xi}^i(q(t),\dot{q}(t)) = \xi^i(q(t)) - \xi^0(q(t))\dot{q}^i(t), && \text{(A.6)}\\
\chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s) &\equiv [p^>_j(t), \tilde{\xi}^i(s)]\\
&= \partial_j\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\delta^>(t-s) + \delta^i_j\xi^0(s)\dot{\delta}^>(t-s), && \text{(A.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s)$ analogously. Moreover, set $\chi^{\,i}_{\xi j}(t,s) = \chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s) + \chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s)$.

Lemma A.2 *The expressions defined in (A.6) satisfy the following relations.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i\tilde{\xi}^i &= \partial_\mu\xi^\mu - \dot{\xi}^0 && \text{(A.8)}\\
\partial_j\dot{\tilde{\xi}}^i\partial_i\tilde{\eta}^j &= \partial_\nu\dot{\xi}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu + \partial_\nu\xi^0\dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\eta}^\nu - \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\xi}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^0 - \ddot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^0\\
&\quad -\dot{\xi}^0\dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\eta}^0 + \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^0 + \frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^0 - \partial_\nu\xi^0\dot{\eta}^\nu). && \text{(A.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We use that $\tilde{\xi}^0 \equiv 0$. Eq. (A.8) thus equals

$$\partial_\mu\tilde{\xi}^\mu = \partial_\mu\xi^\mu - \partial_\mu\xi^0\dot{q}^\mu, \qquad \text{(A.10)}$$

whereas (A.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\nu\dot{\tilde{\xi}}^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\eta}^\nu &= (\partial_\nu\dot{\xi}^\mu - \partial_\nu\xi^0\ddot{q}^\mu - \partial_\nu\dot{\xi}^0\dot{q}^\mu)(\partial_\mu\eta^\nu - \partial_\mu\eta^0\dot{q}^\nu)\\
&= \partial_\nu\dot{\xi}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu - \partial_\nu\xi^0(\ddot{\eta}^\nu - \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\eta}^\nu) - \partial_\nu\dot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^\nu\\
&\quad -\dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\xi}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^0 + \dot{\xi}^0(\ddot{\eta}^0 - \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\eta}^0) + \dot{q}^\rho\partial_\rho\dot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^0. \ \square && \text{(A.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{L}^0_\xi = \int dt \, {:}\xi^\mu(q(t))p_\mu(t){:} \equiv \int dt \, (\tilde{\xi}^i(t)p^{\leq}_i(t) + p^{>}_i(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(t)). \tag{A.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}^0_\xi, \mathcal{L}^0_\eta] &= \iint dsdt \, [\tilde{\xi}^i(s)p^{\leq}_i(s) + p^{>}_i(s)\tilde{\xi}^i(s), \\
&\qquad \tilde{\eta}^j(t)p^{\leq}_j(t) + p^{>}_j(t)\tilde{\eta}^j(t)] \qquad\qquad (\text{A.13}) \\
&= \iint dsdt \Big\{ \tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t)p^{\leq}_j(t) - \tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s)p^{\leq}_i(s) \\
&\quad + \tilde{\xi}^i(s)p^{>}_j(t)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t) - \chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\tilde{\eta}^j(t)p^{\leq}_i(s) \\
&\quad + \chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)p^{\leq}_j(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s) - p^{>}_i(s)\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s \\
&\quad - p^{>}_i(s)\chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\tilde{\eta}^j(t) + p^{>}_j(t)\chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Of these eight terms, the third can be rewritten as

$$p^{>}_j(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t) - \chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t) \tag{A.14}$$

and the fifth as

$$\chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s)p^{\leq}_j(t) + \chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s). \tag{A.15}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}^0_\xi, \mathcal{L}^0_\eta] = \iint dsdt \Big\{ & \tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t)p^{\leq}_j(t) - \tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s)p^{\leq}_i(s) \\
& + p^{>}_j(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t) - \tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)p^{\leq}_i(s) \\
& + \tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)p^{\leq}_j(t) - p^{>}_i(s)\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s \qquad (\text{A.16}) \\
& - p^{>}_i(s)\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s) + p^{>}_j(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)) \\
& - \chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\chi^{\leq j}_{\eta i}(s,t) + \chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)\chi^{\leq i}_{\xi j}(t,s) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The regular piece is

$$\iint dsdt \, \tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi_{\eta}{}^{j}_{i}(s,t)p^{\leq}_j(t) + p^{>}_j(t)\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi_{\eta}{}^{j}_{i}(s,t) - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta. \tag{A.17}$$

We focus on the first term.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint dsdt\ \tilde{\xi}^i(s)\chi_{\eta i}{}^{j}(s,t)p^{\le}_{j}(t) - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \\
= & \iint dsdt\ \tilde{\xi}^\mu(s)(\partial_\mu\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\delta(s-t) + \eta^0(t)\delta^j_\mu\dot{\delta}(s-t))p^{\le}_{j}(t) - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \\
= & \int \Big\{(\widetilde{\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta})^j - \xi^0(\dot{\eta}^j - \dot{\eta}^0\dot{q}^j) - \dot{\tilde{\xi}}^j\eta^0\Big\}p^{\le}_{j} - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta, \qquad (A.18)
\end{aligned}$$

which equals $\mathcal{L}^0_{[\xi,\eta]}$. We again suppress the integration variable in single integrals, and write $\xi^\mu(s) \equiv \xi^\mu(q(s))$, etc. The extension $\mathrm{ext}_0(\xi,\eta)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint dsdt\Big\{ - \chi^{>i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\chi^{\le j}_{\eta i}(s,t) + \chi^{>j}_{\eta i}(s,t)\chi^{\le i}_{\xi j}(t,s)\Big\} \\
= & -\iint dsdt\Big\{(\partial_j\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\delta^>(t-s) + \delta^i_j\xi^0(s)\dot{\delta}^>(t-s)) \times \\
& \times(\partial_i\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\delta^{\le}(s-t) + \delta^j_i\eta^0(t)\dot{\delta}^{\le}(s-t))\Big\} - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \\
= & -\iint dsdt\Big\{\partial_j\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\partial_i\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\delta^>(t-s)\delta^{\le}(s-t) \\
& +\xi^0(s)\partial_j\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\dot{\delta}^>(t-s)\delta^{\le}(s-t) \\
& +\partial_i\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\eta^0(t)\delta^>(t-s)\dot{\delta}^{\le}(s-t) \\
& +\delta^i_i\xi^0(s)\eta^0(t)\dot{\delta}^>(t-s)\dot{\delta}^{\le}(s-t)\Big\} - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \qquad (A.19) \\
= & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\iint dsdt\Big\{\partial_j\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\partial_i\tilde{\eta}^j(t)\dot{\delta}(t-s) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\xi^0(s)\partial_j\tilde{\eta}^j(t)(\ddot{\delta}(t-s) - i\dot{\delta}(t-s)) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\partial_i\tilde{\xi}^i(s)\eta^0(t)(\ddot{\delta}(t-s) + i\dot{\delta}(t-s)) \\
& -\frac{N-1}{6}\xi^0(s)\eta^0(t)(\dddot{\delta}(t-s) + \dot{\delta}(t-s))\Big\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int \Big\{\partial_j\dot{\tilde{\xi}}^i\partial_i\tilde{\eta}^j - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\xi}^0\partial_j\dot{\tilde{\eta}}^j + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\dot{\tilde{\xi}}^i\dot{\eta}^0 \\
& -\frac{N-1}{6}(-\ddot{\xi}^0\dot{\eta}^0 + \dot{\xi}^0\eta^0) + \frac{i}{2}(-\dot{\xi}^0\partial_j\tilde{\eta}^j + \partial_i\tilde{\xi}^i\dot{\eta}^0)\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma A.1 and the fact that $\delta^i_i = N-1$. Now consider the full algebra. The regular piece follows from the following calculation.

$$\int(\xi^\mu\partial_\mu\eta^\nu - \xi^0\dot{\eta}^\nu)p_\nu + \iint dsdt\ \xi^\mu(s)\eta^\nu(t)\delta^0_\mu p_\nu(s)\dot{\delta}(s-t)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int (\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta^0 - \xi^0 \dot\eta^0) L + \iint ds dt \; \xi^0(s) \eta^0(t) L(s) \dot\delta(s-t) \\
& +\int \Big\{ (\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma \eta^\tau - \xi^0 \partial_\sigma \dot\eta^\tau) T^\sigma_\tau + \partial_\mu \xi^\nu \partial_\sigma \eta^\tau \delta^\sigma_\nu T^\mu_\tau \Big\} \\
& +\iint ds dt \; \xi^0(s) \partial_\sigma \eta^\tau(t) T^\sigma_\tau(s) \dot\delta(s-t) - \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \qquad \text{(A.20)} \\
= & \int [\xi,\eta]^\nu p_\nu + [\xi,\eta]^0 L + \partial_\mu [\xi,\eta]^\nu T^\mu_\nu,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the full extension is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ext}(\xi,\eta) = & \; \mathrm{ext}_0(\xi,\eta) + \iint ds dt \; \Big\{ \frac{c}{24\pi i} \xi^0(s) \eta^0(t) (\dddot\delta(s-t) + \dot\delta(s-t)) \\
& + \frac{k_0}{4\pi i} (\xi^0(s) \partial_\nu \eta^\nu(t) - \partial_\mu \xi^\mu(s) \eta^0(t)) \ddot\delta(s-t) \\
& - \partial_\sigma \xi^\mu(s) \partial_\tau \eta^\nu(t) (\frac{k_1}{2\pi i} \delta^\sigma_\nu \delta^\tau_\mu + \frac{k_2}{2\pi i} \delta^\sigma_\mu \delta^\tau_\nu) \dot\delta(s-t) \Big\} \qquad \text{(A.21)} \\
= & \; \mathrm{ext}_0(\xi,\eta) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \Big\{ \frac{c}{12} (\dddot\xi^0 \dot\eta^0 - \dot\xi^0 \eta^0) \\
& + \frac{k_0}{2} (-\dot\xi^0 \partial_\nu \dot\eta^\nu + \dot\eta^0 \partial_\mu \dot\xi^\mu) + k_1 \partial_\nu \dot\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta^\nu + k_2 \partial_\mu \dot\xi^\mu \partial_\nu \eta^\nu \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows by means of lemma A.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ext}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int dt \; & \Big\{ (1+k_1) \partial_\nu \dot\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta^\nu + k_2 \partial_\mu \dot\xi^\mu \partial_\nu \eta^\nu \\
& + \partial_\nu \xi^0 \dot q^\rho \partial_\rho \dot\eta^\nu - \dot q^\rho \partial_\rho \dot\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta^0 - \dot\xi^0 \dot q^\rho \partial_\rho \dot\eta^0 + \dot q^\rho \partial_\rho \dot\xi^0 \dot\eta^0 \qquad \text{(A.22)} \\
& + \frac{1+k_0}{2} (\partial_\mu \dot\xi^\mu \dot\eta^0 - \dot\xi^0 \partial_\nu \dot\eta^\nu) - (2 - \frac{c + 2(N-1)}{12}) \dddot\xi^0 \dot\eta^0 \\
& - \frac{c + 2(N-1)}{12} \dot\xi^0 \eta^0 + \frac{i}{2} (\partial_\mu \xi^\mu \dot\eta^0 - \dot\xi^0 \partial_\nu \eta^\nu) \Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot f = \dot q^\rho \partial_\rho f$. As a consistency check we note that the extension satisfies $\mathrm{ext}(\eta,\xi) = -\mathrm{ext}(\xi,\eta)$.

To calculate the remaining brackets is a straightforward task. Note that normal ordering is irrelevant here, because $S_n^{\nu_1..\nu_n}$ and $R_n^{\rho|\nu_1..\nu_n}$ depend on q^μ only whereas $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ depends only linearly on p_ν. □

Note added. A. Dzhumadil'daev has explained his results [3], which I had slightly misunderstood. The Rao-Moody cocycle c_1 is included in his list; it is equivalent to his cocycle ψ_4^W, with coefficients in $\Omega^1_{DeRham}/B^1_{DeRham} \cong B^2_{DeRham} \oplus H^1_{DeRham}$. Similarly, c_2 is his ψ_3^W. H^1_{DeRham} is an N-dimensional trivial $diff(N)$ module; setting it to zero gives the substitution (2.5). The closedness condition $S_1^\rho(\partial_\rho f) = 0$ can be lifted for the cocycle c_2 (but not for c_1). One then obtains ψ_1^W, first discovered in [7]. Dzhumadil'daev considered extensions by modules of tensor fields, not necessarily irreducible. c_3 and c_4 are not included in his list, because they are extensions by other types of modules.

References

[1] Berman, S. and Y. Billig, *Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie algebras*, preprint (1998).

[2] Billig, Y., *Principal vertex operator representations for toroidal Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **7**, 3844–3864 (1998).

[3] Dzhumadildaev A., *Virasoro type Lie algebras and deformations*, Z. Phys. C **72**, 509–517 (1996).

[4] Eswara Rao, S., R.V. Moody and T. Yokonuma, *Lie algebras and Weyl groups arising from vertex operator representations*, Nova J. of Algebra and Geometry **1**, 15–57 (1992).

[5] Eswara Rao, S. and R.V. Moody, *Vertex representations for N-toroidal Lie algebras and a generalization of the Virasoro algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 239–264 (1994).

[6] Fabbri, M. and R.V. Moody, *Irreducible representations of Virasoso-toroidal Lie algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 1–13 (1994).

[7] Larsson, T.A., *Multi-dimensional Virasoro algebra*, Phys. Lett. **A 231**, 94–96 (1989).

[8] Larsson, T.A., *Central and non-central extensions of multi-graded Lie algebras* , J. Phys. A. **25**, 1177–1184 (1992).

[9] Larsson, T.A., *Fock representations of non-centrally extended super-diffeomorphism algebras*, `physics/9710022` (1997).

[10] Moody, R.V., S. Eswara Rao and T. Yokonoma, *Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations*, Geom. Ded. **35**, 283–307 (1990).